Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/634,689	CHOI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lakshmi S. Channavajjala	1615	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>after-final</u>		
(1) <u>Lakshmi S. Channavajjala</u> .	(3)	(3)	
(2) <u>Hain Swope</u> .	(4)	,	
Date of Interview: 22 June 2006	Time: <u>4.30 PM</u>		
	Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE C See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	AS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief success 	on. The examiner will provide a wri arate record of the substance of th	tten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview	
Λ			
garada.	Į		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Instant claims are in condition for allowance, except for typographical errors in the instant claims. Examiner informed the attorney that in claim 9, line 4," 30" should be followed by "%"; in claim10, line 4, "60" should be followed by "%" and in claim 11, line 5, "60" should be followed by "%". Examiner was authorized by attorney to correct the errors by examiner's amendment. Examiner also informed attorney that the first line of the specification will be amended to include the patent number of the parent application NO. 09/624,305.